Nature or Nurture in Galaxy formation and evolution

Theoretical problems & Perspectives



Françoise Combes Observatoire de Paris *Granada, 15 May 2009* 

# Solved questions ?

→ Are there isolated galaxies? May be! Robust definitions, criteria (Karachentseva, AMIGA..)

→ The Void Problem? Solved at zeroth order!
Environment is a secondary parameter (Tinker, Croton)
But expected dwarfs are not there (Koribalski)

 → Compact Groups: a real nurture effect!
 CA? (Mamon), colors, SF, morphology (McConnachie), AGN (Dultzin, Martinez)

→ Isolated early-type galaxies: Fossil Groups?
 20% ETG in LDE (Forbes)

# **Remaining questions**

→Luminosity functions versus environment:
 +Low efficiency of SF: 6% of baryons in stars and visible gas
 Feedback from SF and AGN

→ Bimodality, and mass limit of 3 10<sup>10</sup>Mo??
 Variation of the limit with environment?
 (radio mode, but less AGN in LDE)

Downsizing and environment
Obvious ways to quench SF: harassment strangulation..

→ Bulge-less galaxies? vs environment
 Very large fraction of them in isolated galaxies
 Problem for ACDM hierarchical scenario?

# Mass & Light DF

#### **ACDM SAM:** Too many bright and too many faint galaxies



Baugh 2006, Eke et al 2006, Jenkins et al 2001

## SF Feedback to fit faint end

Gas is heated in dwarfs, but falls in heavier haloes → worsen the bright end problem



Somerville et al 2008

→ Requires AGN feedback at the bright end





## Red sequence & Blue cloud

Color-Magnitude diagrams (CMD) 150 000 galaxies in the SDSS

Baldry et al 2004

Parameter: essentially SFR But SFH, dust, age, metallicity..

→2 different formation mechanisms Separating stellar mass 3 10<sup>10</sup>Mo



# Fraction in red sequence increases with mass and environment

Baldry et al 2006



#### SF History depends on surface density

LSB dwarfs HSB high mass

Transition at  $M_*=3 \ 10^{10} \text{ Mo}$ , or  $3 \ 10^8 \ \text{Mo/kpc}^2$ SFH depends more on surface density than on mass

Kauffmann et al 2003

There is a transition where the gas begins to outflow, at the  $V_{SN}$  velocity ~100km/s



## Origin of the bimodality

Above a certain mass  $(3\ 10^{11}\,M_o)$ , the gas is not accreted cold, but is heated in shocks and has no time to cool (or AGN feedback) Dekel & Birnboim 2006 Keres et al 2005

→ Or above a certain surface density of stars (3  $10^8 M_o/kpc^2$ ), the gas is quickly transformed into stars, and the time spent in the « blue » regime is short.



#### **The bimodality as a function of M (SAM) Baldry et al. 2004** $M_r = -22.75$ **Blue & bright** $M_r = -21.25$ **Not enough green**



Excess of blue bright objects, and red faint satellites→Gas accretion on the satellites?

## The star formation history



# Galaxy age vs environment

In clusters, massive ETG are older and more metallic Mateus et al 2007



# Galaxy metallicity vs environment

#### Mateus et al 2007





## **Problem of bulge-less galaxies**

-Locally, about 2/3 or the bright spirals are bulgeless, or low-bulge Kormendy & Fisher 2008, Weinzirl et al 2008

-Frequency of edge-on superthin galaxies (*Kautsch et al 2006*) **1/3 of galaxies are completely bulgeless** 

-SDSS sample : 20% of bright spirals are bulgeless until z=0.03 (*Barazza et al 2008*)

→ In low-density environment (Karachentsev on Wednesday)

In  $\Lambda$ CDM, a B/T<0.2 galaxy requires no merger since 10 Gyr (z>2) Predicted frequency: 15 times lower than observed

#### Comparison with predicted B/T



Semi-analytic models, with major mergers (mass ratio <1/4) *Weinzirl et al 2008* 

#### Cold accretion on galaxies

**Previous assumption**: shock heating to the Virial temperature

**But:** Cold gas falling along filaments, the fraction of cold gas being larger in low-mass haloes  $(M_{CDM} < 3 \ 10^{11} M_{o})$ 



# Relative role of gas accretion and mergers

#### Dekel et al (2008)





Most of the starburst are due to smooth flows

Inflow rates are sufficient to assemble galaxy mass (10-100 Mo/yr) Galaxy aligned along a wall between voids Talk of R. van de Weygaert, and winning poster!

Gas from the cosmic filaments flowing to the wall, and perpendicular to it → Formation of the gaseous polar disk Up





Stanonik et al 2009

# Perspectives

→ Role of both Nature and Nurture ?:

-faster evolution and merging in dense regions, that will become clusters -when cluster is formed: strangulation, ram-pressure, harassment

 $\rightarrow$  SF and AGN feedback to fit the L-function, and f\_bar in stars

→ Downsizing partly due to environment, but models have
-still too many bright blue objects at z=0
-and too many red faint satellites

→ May be gas accretion should not be stopped for these faint satellites -would enhance also the green valley