

Groups vs. isolated galaxies: exploring the factors that shape

A. Iovino, O. Cucciati, M. Scodeggio, C. Knobel, K. Kovac, S. Lilly, & ZCOSMOS team



Context of our analysis

1 - Why Does Star Formation Stop?



Internal? Gas consumption, "normal" aging, AGN/SN feedbacks
 External? Specific mechanisms taking place in denser structures inhibit star formation

In over-dense environments dark matter halos assembled their mass more rapidly and at higher redshifts than halos of the same mass in low density environments

Local interactions between galaxies and their environment are responsible for what we see

Galaxies follow a strong bi-modal distribution for many of their properties ...

Age SFR

Color



How does Fb vary with cosmic time ?

Granada - May-2009

Luminosity/Mass

Galaxy (U-B) rest-frame color is a simple indicator of the age of the stellar population.

We used a classical diagnostic tool:

Fb = fraction of blue galaxies, i.e. at (U-B) <= 1, over total.

Our Questions

How Fb changes as a function of galaxy properties (luminosity&mass)? galaxy environment? cosmic time?



Large redshift survey using 600hr of VLT+VIMOS

zCOSMOS-bright: approx 20.000 galaxies purely flux selected down to I_{AB} = 22.5 to cover the 1.7 sq deg

Institutes involved: Zurich (P.I. S. Lilly), Bologna, Marseille, Milano, Munich, Toulouse.

(see Lilly et al, 2007, ApJ, Lilly et al., 2009, for survey details)



Galaxy group catalogue from the 10K sample (Knobel, et al., 2009) and isolated galaxies sample.

Galaxy luminosities and masses from SED fitting of the large available database of photometric data (Oesch et al. in prep., and Bolzonella et al., 2009).



We selected four volume limited samples to probe an **homogeneous** population of galaxies at different redshifts:



In each volume limited sample we considered *only* groups with 2 or more members brighter than MB cut-off ...





☆ Up to z~1 and at all magnitudes galaxy colors depend quite strongly on environment

☆ Galaxy colors become redder going to brighter magnitudes irrespective of environment

Lines have different slopes in different environments

Which are the mechanisms responsible for these different environmental trends ?

All - Group - Isol galaxies 0.8 0.6 0.4 0.2 $M \leq M_{m}^{*} + 2.1^{-1}$ $M \leq M_{ev}^{*} + 1.5^{-1}$ $F_{\rm blue}$ 0.8 0.6 0.4 0.2 $M \leq M_{ev}^{*} + 0.2^{-1}$ $M \leq M_{ev}^{*} + 0.8^{-1}$ 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 redshift

Faster quenching of star formation in dense environments Delayed replenishing of blue cloud in lower density environments

Need to enter galaxy mass information What happens of the strong trends observed in luminosity selected samples when moving to mass selected samples?



The B-band volume limited sample does not shows color dependent selection biases.

Enter galaxy mass information



But moving to color-mass space there is a strong selection bias in color!

Enter galaxy mass information



But moving to color-mass space there is a strong selection bias in color!



The mass limited samples display non negligible mass segregation

See also Scodeggio et al. 2009 and Bolzonella et al. 2009.

Enter galaxy mass information



We need to do our analysis in mass bins, <u>narrow enough</u> to neglect mass segregation ...



☆ Higher mass galaxies show nearly constant Fb since redshift ~ 1 and little dependence on environment

Lower mass galaxies show stronger trends with redshift and environment



Comparison with volume limited samples:

Fraction of blue galaxies is lower at all redshifts and environments considered.



... suggesting that blue, lower mass galaxies are responsible for the stronger trends seen in luminosity selected samples.

Biased view resulting from the B luminosity selection!



There is a restricted range of masses where colors show dependence on environment.

The emerging picture in consistent with 'downsizing' scenario modulated by environment.



The progressive speeding up in group environment of the color transition from red to blue galaxies cannot be interpreted using only nature mechanisms!

Local points from Baldry et al. 2006

The emerging picture in consistent with 'downsizing' scenario modulated by environment.



Natural mechanisms to explain such trends are those taking place in groups: more efficient for less massive galaxies and with a timing that mirrors the emergence of structures.

Granada - May-2009

Local points from Baldry et al. 2006 The emerging picture in consistent with 'downsizing' scenario modulated by environment.



1 – massive galaxies already in place at z -1

2 –at lower redshifts nurture red galaxies emerge, bearing signs of environmental effects

3 – their timing mirrors the progressive emergence of structures where such mechanisms take place.

A series of papers further investigating such findings using zCosmos sample:

1- Cucciati et al. (see also poster) 2-Kovac et al. & Tasca et al \rightarrow morphologies in groups and different environments 3- Knobel et al. \rightarrow group catalogue in zCosmos 4 - Kovac et al. \rightarrow density field in zCosmos 5- Bolzonella et al. \rightarrow mass function in different environments 6-Zucca et al. \rightarrow luminosity function in different environments 7- Iovino et al. \rightarrow what I presented



Future: detailed comparisons with simulations predictions and the new 20K group sample to confirm and better explain these trends.





Context of our analysis

Groups as powerhouse of Galaxy evolution ?

Groups of galaxies are a common environment at $z\sim0$. Probing dependence of galaxy properties on group environment will allow to understand the evolutionary processes taking place in groups and to assess their importance in driving global trends.







zCosmos survey is ideal for this investigation being free from redshift dependent selection biases in color-magnitude space up to $z \sim 1$

zCOSMOS

As of today we have already collected approx 10.000 spectra: the so-called **10K** sample



10K field layout

The central part (~0.9 sq deg) has a relatively uniform spectroscopic coverage: approx 1 out of 3 gals with reliable redshift measurement (typical error in v ~ 100 k/s)

Problems related to the **uneven sampling rate** of the survey: before performing our analysis we introduced a weighting scheme to correct both for **alpha-delta uneven coverage** and for luminosity dependence of **success rate** in redshift measurements.

Wi = $1/(TSR*SSR*\phi(\alpha,\delta))$

We used this weighting scheme to correctly estimate group richness and other measured quantities ...



Context of our analysis Why Does Star Formation Stop? Possible External Mechanisms

- Ram-pressure stripping
 Needs dense ICM and high velocities clusters
- Collisions / harassment
 - ✓ Groups are preferred place
- Strangulation
 - \checkmark Slow removal of the gas halo
 - ✓ Similar to ram-pressure stripping but much easier

Context of our analysis

Why Does Star Formation Stop?

Sust structure growth:

 In over-dense environments dark matter halos start to assemble their mass at higher redshifts and more rapidly + internal mechanisms, like AGN/SN feedbacks, to shutdown star formation



Offers a possible alternative explanation for the most evolved population of galaxy groups and clusters without appealing to local interactions between galaxies and their environment. Granada - May-2009

Problems related to the **uneven sampling rate** of the survey: before performing our analysis we introduced a weighting scheme to correct both for **alpha-delta uneven coverage** and for luminosity dependence of **success rate** in redshift measurements.

Problems related to the uneven sampling rate of the survey:

Granada - May-2009

Wi = $1/(TSR*SSR*\phi(\alpha,\delta))$

TSR = Nspec/Nphot

Problems related to the uneven sampling rate of the survey:

Granada - May-2009

Wi = $1/(TSR*SSR*\phi(\alpha,\delta))$

TSR = Nspec/Nphot

Problems related to the uneven sampling rate of the survey:

Granada - May-2009

Wi = $1/(TSR*SSR*\phi(\alpha,\delta))$

TSR = Nspec/Nphot

SSR = Ngood/Nspec

Problems related to the uneven sampling rate of the survey:

Wi = $1/(TSR*SSR*\phi(\alpha,\delta))$

TSR = Nspec/Nphot

SSR = Ngood/Nspec



Problems related to the uneven sampling rate of the survey:

Wi = $1/(TSR*SSR*\phi(\alpha,\delta))$

TSR = Nspec/Nphot

SSR = Ngood/Nspec





Problems related to the uneven sampling rate of the survey:

Wi = $1/(TSR*SSR*\phi(\alpha,\delta))$

We used this weighting scheme to correct for group richness and all our group quantities estimates.



How to define a sample of isolated galaxies? Use Voronoi Volumes





zCOSMOS - Isolated galaxies - definition

Arrow to define a sample of isolated galaxies?







The same plot for DEEP2 !

